# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,005	01/12/2006	James D. San Antonio	08321-0125US1	1451
23973	7590 05/17/2006		EXAM	INER
DRINKER E	IDDLE & REATH	AUDET, MAURY A		
ATTN: INTE	LLECTUAL PROPERT			
ONE LOGAN SQUARE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
18TH AND CHERRY STREETS			1654	
PHILADELPI	IA, PA 19103-6996		DATE MAILED: 05/17/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/551,005	SAN ANTONIO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Maury Audet	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09/27	7/2005.					
_	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	63 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/551,005 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-30, 35-44, drawn to any heparin-binding peptide of various formulas, and amino acids and lengths therein, and compositions thereof.
- II. Claims 31-32 and 45-46, drawn to a method of reducing plasma heparin levels using any heparin-binding peptide of various formulas, and amino acids and lengths therein.
- III. Claims 33-34 and 47-48, drawn to a method of reducing the anticoagulant effects of heparin, using any heparin-binding peptide of various formulas, and amino acids and lengths therein.
- IV. Claims 49-50, drawn to a conjugate comprising any heparin-binding peptide of various formulas, and amino acids and lengths therein, conjugated to at least one active agent.
- V. Claims 51-52, drawn to a method of delivering at least one active agent to a tissue or cell displaying high levels of glycosaminoglycans or proteoglycans, using a conjugate comprising any heparin-binding peptide of various formulas, and amino acids and lengths therein, conjugated to at least one active agent.
- VI. Claims 53-55, drawn to a method of treating a mast cell serine protease-associated disorder, using any heparin-binding peptide of various formulas, and amino acids and lengths

Art Unit: 1654

therein.

VII. Claims 56-60, drawn to a method of treating a microbial infection, using mast cell serine protease-associated disorder, using any heparin-binding peptide of various formulas, and amino acids and lengths therein.

VIII. Claims 61-63, drawn to a conjugate comprising any heparin-binding peptide of various formulas, and amino acids and lengths therein, conjugated to at least one carrier molecule.

IX. Claims 64-65, drawn to a method of reducing the anticoagulant effects of a heparin, using delivering, using a conjugate comprising any heparin-binding peptide of various formulas, and amino acids and lengths therein, conjugated to at least one carrier molecule.

## Lack of Unity

An international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories: (1) a product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or (2) a product and a process of use of said product; or (3) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or (4) a process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or (5) a product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process. If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT Article 17(3)(a) and 1.476(c).

Application/Control Number: 10/551,005 Page 4

Art Unit: 1654

## Peptide Markush Group-Lack of Unity

The inventions (Products or Methods) are independently drawn to a markush group of distinct peptides (a minimum of 47 distinct sequences, representing heparin-binding peptides of various formulas, and amino acids and lengths therein, see sequence listing). For the members of a Markush group to have unity of invention, *all* members must have a common core structure or be a member of an art recognized class. Neither of the above applies to the peptides of the present invention (see e.g. SEQ ID NO: 2 verus SEQ ID NO: 3, no core structure therebetween). Thus, the Markush groups, and hence Inventions containing such, lack unity of invention. (See Annex B to PCT Administrative Instructions, P. A1-59).

## Requirement for a Peptide Election as the Invention

As described above, the distinct peptides (a minimum of 47 distinct sequences, representing heparin-binding peptides of various formulas, and amino acids and lengths therein), do not contain a substantial, distinguishable core structure/sequence that runs through them respectively. Thus an individual sequence and/or structure search is required of each compound of the invention. Therefore, irrespective of which Group of I-IX is elected as the invention, Applicant must elect a single peptide sequence or structure thereto, as the invention, to which the elected Invention group will be searched.

This requirement is not to be taken as an election of species, but rather as an election of a single invention, since each compound is assumed to be a patentably distinct invention, in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

## Species Election

Additionally, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species related to the conjugate Groups IV and VIII. Thus, if either Group IV or VIII is elected as the invention, one of the following species elections is required:

- 1. As to Group IV-a single, specific active agent must be elected as conjugated to the elected peptide of the invention; or
- 2. As to Group VIII- a single, specific carrier must be elected as conjugated to the elected peptide of the invention.

The species are independent or distinct because a search for any of the above species is not necessarily co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search and a reference, which would anticipate any one of the above species, would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another species, absent evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 30, 31, 33, 35, 44, 45, 47, 49, 51, 53, 56, 61, and 64.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

Application/Control Number: 10/551,005

Art Unit: 1654

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CRF 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### In re Ochiai/Brouwer Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,005 Page 7

Art Unit: 1654

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maury Audet whose telephone number is 571-272-0960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 7AM-5:30PM (10 Hrs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecelia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MA, 04/13/2006

PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 1654